

Modeling and Analysis of Collective Adaptive Systems with CARMA and its Tools

Jane HillstonMichele LoretiLFCS, University of EdinburghUniversità di Firenze

22nd June 2016

Outline



1 Introduction

- Collective Adaptive Systems
- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- 4 CARMA Operational semantics
- **5** CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action

Outline



1 Introduction

- Collective Adaptive Systems
- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- **4** CARMA Operational semantics
- 5 CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action





We are surrounded by examples of collective systems: in the natural world





.... and in the man-made world







.... and in the man-made world







.... and in the man-made world



Most of these systems are also adaptive to their environment



From a computer science perspective these systems can be viewed as being made up of a large number of interacting entities.



Each entity may have its own properties, objectives and actions.

At the system level these combine to create the collective behaviour.



The behaviour of the system is thus dependent on the behaviour of the individual entities.





The behaviour of the system is thus dependent on the behaviour of the individual entities.





The behaviour of the system is thus dependent on the behaviour of the individual entities.



And the behaviour of the individuals will be influenced by the state of the overall system.



Such systems are often embedded in our environment and need to operate without centralised control or direction.





Such systems are often embedded in our environment and need to operate without centralised control or direction.



Moreover when conditions within the system change it may not be feasible to have human intervention to adjust behaviour appropriately.



Such systems are often embedded in our environment and need to operate without centralised control or direction.



Moreover when conditions within the system change it may not be feasible to have human intervention to adjust behaviour appropriately.

Thus systems must be able to autonomously adapt.









Such systems are now becoming the reality, and many form collective adaptive systems, in which large numbers of computing elements collaborate to meet the human need.



Such systems are now becoming the reality, and many form collective adaptive systems, in which large numbers of computing elements collaborate to meet the human need.

For instance, may examples of such systems can be found in components of Smart Cities, such as smart urban transport and smart grid electricity generation and storage.























Markovian-based discrete event models have been applied to computer systems since the mid-1960s and communication systems since the early 20th century.



Various formalisms have been designed for capturing such behaviour.



Capacity planning

 How many clients can the existing server support and maintain reasonable response times?

quanticol

www.guanticol.eu



Capacity planning

How many buses do I need to maintain service at peak time in a smart urban transport system?

quanticol

www.guanticc



System Configuration

How many frequencies do you need to keep blocking probabilities low?

quanticol

www.quantic

Mobile Telephone Antenna



System Configuration

What capacity do I need at bike stations to minimise the movement of bikes by truck?

quanticol

www.guanticol.eu



22nd June 2016 10 / 90

Performance Modelling: Motivation



System Tuning

What speed of conveyor belt will minimize robot idle time and maximize throughput whilst avoiding lost widgets?

quanticol

www.quantic



System Tuning

 What strategy can I use to maintain supply-demand balance within a smart electricity grid?

quanticol

www.guanticol.eu





For the last three decades there has been substantial interest in applying formal modelling techniques enhanced with information about timing and probability.



For the last three decades there has been substantial interest in applying formal modelling techniques enhanced with information about timing and probability.

From these high-level system descriptions the underlying mathematical model (Continuous Time Markov Chain (CTMC)) can be automatically generated.



For the last three decades there has been substantial interest in applying formal modelling techniques enhanced with information about timing and probability.

From these high-level system descriptions the underlying mathematical model (Continuous Time Markov Chain (CTMC)) can be automatically generated.

Primary examples include:

- Stochastic Petri Nets and
- Stochastic Process Algebras.
Stochastic Process Algebra



Models are constructed from components which engage in activities.

Stochastic Process Algebra



- Models are constructed from components which engage in activities.
- Activities have a name and a rate.



- Models are constructed from components which engage in activities.
- Activities have a name and a rate.
- The rate defines an exponential distribution which means that the duration of an activity is a random variable.



- Models are constructed from components which engage in activities.
- Activities have a name and a rate.
- The rate defines an exponential distribution which means that the duration of an activity is a random variable.
- A small set of language constructs determine how the model will evolve.



- Models are constructed from components which engage in activities.
- Activities have a name and a rate.
- The rate defines an exponential distribution which means that the duration of an activity is a random variable.
- A small set of language constructs determine how the model will evolve.
- The language is used to generate a CTMC for performance modelling (via the semantics).





Using the semantics a SPA model is mapped to a CTMC with global states determined by the local states of all the participating components.

Using the semantics a SPA model is mapped to a CTMC with global states determined by the local states of all the participating components.









When the size of the state space is not too large they are amenable to numerical solution (linear algebra) to determine a steady state or transient probability distribution.

When the size of the state space is not too large they are amenable to numerical solution (linear algebra) to determine a steady state or transient probability distribution.



quanti<mark>co</mark>l

When the size of the state space is not too large they are amenable to numerical solution (linear algebra) to determine a steady state or transient probability distribution.

$$= \begin{pmatrix} q_{1,1} & q_{1,2} & \cdots & q_{1,N} \\ q_{2,1} & q_{2,2} & \cdots & q_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ q_{N,1} & q_{N,2} & \cdots & q_{N,N} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\pi(t) = (\pi_1(t), \pi_2(t), \dots, \pi_N(t))$$
$$\pi(\infty)Q = 0$$

(.)

quanticol

Alternatively they may be studied using stochastic simulation. Each run generates a single trajectory through the state space. Many runs are needed in order to obtain average behaviours.



quanti<mark>co</mark>l



As the size of the state space becomes large it becomes infeasible to carry out numerical solution and extremely time-consuming to conduct stochastic simulation.



As the size of the state space becomes large it becomes infeasible to carry out numerical solution and extremely time-consuming to conduct stochastic simulation.

As we have seen in a previous lecture, when the population sizes become large we can make a mean-field approximation, approximating the average trajectory of the CTMC by a set of ordinary differential equations.

M.Tribastone, S.Gilmore and J.Hillston. Scalable Differential Analysis of Process Algebra Models. IEEE TSE 2012.



Ceasing to distinguish between instances of components we form an aggregation or counting abstraction to reduce the state space.



Ceasing to distinguish between instances of components we form an aggregation or counting abstraction to reduce the state space.



Ceasing to distinguish between instances of components we form an aggregation or counting abstraction to reduce the state space. We now disregard the identity of components.



Ceasing to distinguish between instances of components we form an aggregation or counting abstraction to reduce the state space. We now disregard the identity of components.

Even better reductions can be achieved when we no longer regard the components as individuals.

Outline



1 Introduction

- Collective Adaptive Systems
- Quantitative Analysis

2 Modelling CAS

- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- **4** CARMA Operational semantics
- 5 CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action



Work over the last twenty years on stochastic process algebra provides a solid basic framework for modelling CAS but there remain a number of challenges:

- Richer forms of interaction
- The influence of space on behaviour
- Capturing adaptivity



If we consider real collective adaptive systems, especially those with emergent behaviour, they embody rich forms of interaction, often based on asynchronous communication.



If we consider real collective adaptive systems, especially those with emergent behaviour, they embody rich forms of interaction, often based on asynchronous communication.

For example, pheromone trails left by social insects.



If we consider real collective adaptive systems, especially those with emergent behaviour, they embody rich forms of interaction, often based on asynchronous communication.

For example, pheromone trails left by social insects.

Languages like SCEL offer these richer communication patterns, with components which include a knowledge store which can be manipulated by other components and attribute-based communication.

R.De Nicola, G.Ferrari, M.Loreti, R.Pugliese. A Language-Based Approach to Autonomic Computing. FMCO 2011.



If we consider real collective adaptive systems, especially those with emergent behaviour, they embody rich forms of interaction, often based on asynchronous communication.

For example, pheromone trails left by social insects.

Languages like SCEL offer these richer communication patterns, with components which include a knowledge store which can be manipulated by other components and attribute-based communication.

R.De Nicola, G.Ferrari, M.Loreti, R.Pugliese. A Language-Based Approach to Autonomic Computing. FMCO 2011.

But languages designed for other purposes typically contain too much detail to be used as the basis of quantitative modelling and analysis.





We can impose the effects of space by encoding it into the behaviour of the actions of components and distinguishing the same component in different location as distinct types, but this is modelling space implicitly.



We can impose the effects of space by encoding it into the behaviour of the actions of components and distinguishing the same component in different location as distinct types, but this is modelling space implicitly.

It is preferable to model space explicitly although this poses significant challenges both for model expression and model solution.



We can impose the effects of space by encoding it into the behaviour of the actions of components and distinguishing the same component in different location as distinct types, but this is modelling space implicitly.

It is preferable to model space explicitly although this poses significant challenges both for model expression and model solution.

There is a tension with scalable analysis which is often based on an implicit assumption that all components are co-located.



Existing process algebras, tend to work with a fixed set of actions for each entity type.



Existing process algebras, tend to work with a fixed set of actions for each entity type.

Some stochastic process algebras allow the rate of activity to be dependent on the state of the system.



Existing process algebras, tend to work with a fixed set of actions for each entity type.

Some stochastic process algebras allow the rate of activity to be dependent on the state of the system.

But for truly adaptive systems there should also be some way to identify the goal or objective of an entity in addition to its behaviour.

Outline



1 Introduction

Collective Adaptive Systems

- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- **4** CARMA Operational semantics
- 5 CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action
















The QUANTICOL project seeks to develop a coherent, integrated set of linguistic primitives, methods and tools to build systems that can operate in open-ended, unpredictable environments.











1 The behaviours of agents and their interactions;





- 1 The behaviours of agents and their interactions;
- 2 The global knowledge of the system and that of its agents;





- 1 The behaviours of agents and their interactions;
- 2 The global knowledge of the system and that of its agents;
- 3 The environment where agents operate...





- 1 The behaviours of agents and their interactions;
- 2 The global knowledge of the system and that of its agents;
- 3 The environment where agents operate...
 - taking into account open ended-ness and adaptation;





- 1 The behaviours of agents and their interactions;
- 2 The global knowledge of the system and that of its agents;
- 3 The environment where agents operate...
 - taking into account open ended-ness and adaptation;
 - taking into account resources, locations and visibility/reachability issues.

M.Loreti et al. CARMA: Collective Adaptive Resource-sharing Markovian Agents. QAPL 2015.



















Agents in CARMA















Agents in CARMA are defined as components C of the form (P, γ) where...

- *P* is a process, representing agent behaviour;
- γ is a store, modelling agent knowledge.



Agents in CARMA are defined as components C of the form (P, γ) where...

- *P* is a process, representing agent behaviour;
- γ is a store, modelling agent knowledge.

The participants of an interaction are identified via predicates...

the counterpart of a communication is selected according its properties





























 Broadcast output: a message is sent to all the components satisfying a predicate π;



- Broadcast output: a message is sent to all the components satisfying a predicate π;
- Broadcast input: a process is willing to receive a broadcast message from a component satisfying a predicate π;



- Broadcast output: a message is sent to all the components satisfying a predicate π;
- Broadcast input: a process is willing to receive a broadcast message from a component satisfying a predicate π;
- Unicast output: a message is sent to one of the components satisfying a predicate π;



- Broadcast output: a message is sent to all the components satisfying a predicate π;
- Broadcast input: a process is willing to receive a broadcast message from a component satisfying a predicate π;
- Unicast output: a message is sent to one of the components satisfying a predicate π;
- Unicast input: a process is willing to receive a message from a component satisfying a predicate π.



- Broadcast output: a message is sent to all the components satisfying a predicate π;
- Broadcast input: a process is willing to receive a broadcast message from a component satisfying a predicate π;
- Unicast output: a message is sent to one of the components satisfying a predicate π;
- Unicast input: a process is willing to receive a message from a component satisfying a predicate π.

The execution of an action takes an exponentially distributed time; the rate of each action is determined by the environment.









• α is an action type;





- α is an action type;
- π is a predicate;





- α is an action type;
- π is a predicate;
- σ is the effect of the action on the store.



 $\begin{aligned} Student &= teach^{*}[boring = false](fact)\{know := know + fact\} ... \\ &+ coffee^{*}[true](\cdot)\{awake := true\} ... \end{aligned}$



Lecturer =
$$teach^*[awake = true]\langle fact \rangle \{\} ... + coffee^*[true]\langle \cdot \rangle \{boring := false\} ...$$

 $\begin{aligned} Student &= teach^{\star}[boring = false](fact)\{know := know + fact\} ... \\ &+ coffee^{\star}[true](\cdot)\{awake := true\} ... \end{aligned}$



 $\begin{aligned} Student &= teach^{*}[boring = false](fact)\{know := know + fact\}... \\ &+ coffee^{*}[true](\cdot)\{awake := true\}... \end{aligned}$



 $\begin{aligned} Student &= teach^{*}[boring = false](fact)\{know := know + fact\} ... \\ &+ coffee^{*}[true](\cdot)\{awake := true\} ... \end{aligned}$



 $\begin{aligned} Student &= teach^{*}[boring = false](fact)\{know := know + fact\}... \\ &+ coffee^{*}[true](\cdot)\{awake := true\}... \end{aligned}$



Short (5 minute) Break!
















Spreading: one agent spreads relevant information to a given group of other agents

Spreading: 1-to-many











- **Spreading**: one agent spreads relevant information to a given group of other agents
- Collecting: one agent changes its behaviour according to data collected from one agent belonging to a given group of agents.



Collecting: 1-to-1



- **Spreading**: one agent spreads relevant information to a given group of other agents
- Collecting: one agent changes its behaviour according to data collected from one agent belonging to a given group of agents.







- **Spreading**: one agent spreads relevant information to a given group of other agents
- Collecting: one agent changes its behaviour according to data collected from one agent belonging to a given group of agents.







- **Spreading**: one agent spreads relevant information to a given group of other agents
- Collecting: one agent changes its behaviour according to data collected from one agent belonging to a given group of agents.





$\mathsf{CAS:}\ \mathbf{CARMA}\ \mathsf{perspective}$





uant

www.quanticol.eu



Collective Environment











Processes are referenced via their attributes!









■ a collective (N)...







- a collective (N)...
- ... operating in an environment (\mathscr{E}).





A CARMA system consists of

- a collective (N)...
- ... operating in an environment (*E*).

Collective...

- is composed by a set of components, i.e. the Markovian agents that compete and/or cooperate to achieve a set of given tasks
- models the behavioural part of a system





- a collective (N)...
- ... operating in an environment (*E*).

Collective...

- is composed by a set of components, i.e. the Markovian agents that compete and/or cooperate to achieve a set of given tasks
- models the behavioural part of a system

Environment...

- models the rules intrinsic to the context where agents operate;
- mediates and regulates agent interactions.





Agents in CARMA are defined as components C of the form (P, γ) where...

- *P* is a process, representing agent behaviour;
- γ is a store, modelling agent knowledge.



Agents in CARMA are defined as components C of the form (P, γ) where...

- *P* is a process, representing agent behaviour;
- γ is a store, modelling agent knowledge.

The participants of an interaction are identified via predicates...

the counterpart of a communication is selected according its properties





- α is an action type;
- π is a predicate;
- σ is the effect of the action on the store.



After the execution of an action, a process can update the component store:

σ denotes a function mapping each γ to a probability distribution over possible stores.



After the execution of an action, a process can update the component store:

• σ denotes a function mapping each γ to a probability distribution over possible stores.

$$\mathsf{move}^{\star}[\pi]\langle v \rangle \{ x := x + U(-1,+1) \}$$



After the execution of an action, a process can update the component store:

 σ denotes a function mapping each γ to a probability distribution over possible stores.

$$\mathsf{move}^{\star}[\pi]\langle v \rangle \{ x := x + U(-1,+1) \}$$

Remark:

- Processes running in the same component can implicitly interact via the local store;
- Updates are instantaneous.



$\label{eq:predicates} \mbox{ regulating broadcast/unicast inputs can refer also to the received values.}$



Predicates regulating broadcast/unicast inputs can refer also to the received values.

Example:

A value greater than 0 is expected from a component with a *trust_level* less than 3:

 $\alpha^{\star}[(x > 0) \land (trust_level < 3)](x)\sigma.P$



$$\begin{array}{l} (\ {\rm stop}^{\star}[{\rm bl} < 5\%] \langle v \rangle \sigma_1.P \ , \{ {\it role} = "master" \}) \parallel \\ (\ {\rm stop}^{\star}[{\rm role} = "master"](x) \sigma_2 \ .Q_1 \ , \{ {\rm bl} = 4\% \}) \parallel \\ (\ {\rm stop}^{\star}[{\rm role} = "super"](x) \sigma_3.Q_2 \ , \{ {\rm bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\ {\rm stop}^{\star}[\top](x) \sigma_4.Q_3 \ , \{ {\rm bl} = 2\% \}) \ \end{array}$$



$$(stop^{*}[bl < 5\%]\langle v \rangle \sigma_{1}.P , \{ role = "master" \}) \| (stop^{*}[role = "master"](x)\sigma_{2} .Q_{1} , \{ bl = 4\% \}) \| (stop^{*}[role = "super"](x)\sigma_{3}.Q_{2} , \{ bl = 2\% \}) \| (stop^{*}[\top](x)\sigma_{4}.Q_{3} , \{ bl = 2\% \})$$



$$(stop^{*}[bl < 5\%]\langle v \rangle \sigma_{1}.P , \{ role = "master" \}) \| (stop^{*}[role = "master"](x)\sigma_{2} .Q_{1} , \{ bl = 4\% \}) \| (stop^{*}[role = "super"](x)\sigma_{3}.Q_{2} , \{ bl = 2\% \}) \| (stop^{*}[\top](x)\sigma_{4}.Q_{3} , \{ bl = 2\% \})$$



∜

$$(P, \sigma_{1}(\{role = "master"\})) \parallel \\ (Q_{1}[v/x], \sigma_{2}(\{bl = 4\%\})) \parallel \\ (stop^{*}[role = "super"](x)\sigma_{3}.Q_{2}, \{bl = 2\%\}) \parallel \\ (Q_{3}[v/x], \sigma_{4}(\{bl = 2\%\}))$$



$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{bl} < 5\%] \langle v \rangle \sigma_{1}.P, \{ \textit{role} = \textit{``master''} \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = \textit{``master''}](x)\sigma_{2}.Q_{1}, \{ \text{bl} = 45\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = \textit{``super''}](x)\sigma_{3}.Q_{2}, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\top](x)\sigma_{4}.Q_{3}, \{ \text{bl} = 25\% \}) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{bl} < 5\%] \langle v \rangle \sigma_{1}.P, \{ \text{role} = \text{``master''} \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = \text{``master''}](x)\sigma_{2}.Q_{1}, \{ \text{bl} = 45\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = \text{``super''}](x)\sigma_{3}.Q_{2}, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\top](x)\sigma_{4}.Q_{3}, \{ \text{bl} = 25\% \}) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{bl} < 5\%] \langle v \rangle \sigma_{1}.P, \{ \text{role} = \text{``master''} \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = \text{``master''}](x)\sigma_{2}.Q_{1}, \{ \text{bl} = 45\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = \text{``super''}](x)\sigma_{3}.Q_{2}, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\top](x)\sigma_{4}.Q_{3}, \{ \text{bl} = 25\% \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (P, \sigma_1(\{ \textit{role} = "master" \})) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = "master"](x)\sigma_2.Q_1, \{ \text{bl} = 45\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\text{role} = "super"](x)\sigma_3.Q_2, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}^{*}[\top](x)\sigma_4.Q_3, \{ \text{bl} = 25\% \}) \end{aligned}$$



Unicast synchronisation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop}[\text{bl} < 5\%] \langle \bullet \rangle \sigma_1.P, \{ \textit{role} = \textit{``master''} \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \textit{``master''}](x) \sigma_2.Q_1, \{ \text{bl} = 4\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \textit{``super''}](x) \sigma_3.Q_2, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\top](x) \sigma_4.Q_3, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \end{aligned}$$



Unicast synchronisation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop}[\text{bl} < 5\%] \langle \bullet \rangle \sigma_1.P, \{ \text{role} = \text{``master''} \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \text{``master''}](x) \sigma_2.Q_1, \{ \text{bl} = 4\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \text{``super''}](x) \sigma_3.Q_2, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\top](x) \sigma_4.Q_3, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \end{aligned}$$


Unicast synchronisation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop}[\text{bl} < 5\%] \langle \bullet \rangle \sigma_1.P, \{ \text{role} = \text{``master''} \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \text{``master''}](x) \sigma_2.Q_1, \{ \text{bl} = 4\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \text{``super''}](x) \sigma_3.Q_2, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\top](x) \sigma_4.Q_3, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \end{aligned}$$



Unicast synchronisation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{stop[bl} < 5\%] \langle \bullet \rangle \sigma_1.P, \{ \textit{role} = ``master'' \}) \\ (\text{stop[role} = ``master''](x) \sigma_2.Q_1, \{ bl = 4\% \}) \\ (\text{stop[role} = ``super''](x) \sigma_3.Q_2, \{ bl = 2\% \}) \\ (\text{stop[}\top](x) \sigma_4.Q_3, \{ bl = 2\% \}) \end{aligned}$$

∜

 $\begin{array}{l} (P, \sigma_1(\{ \textit{role} = \textit{``master''} \})) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \textit{``master''}](x)\sigma_2.Q_1, \{ \text{bl} = 4\% \}) \parallel \\ (\text{stop}[\text{role} = \textit{``super''}](x)\sigma_3.Q_2, \{ \text{bl} = 2\% \}) \parallel \\ (Q_3, \sigma_4(\{ \text{bl} = 2\% \})) \end{array}$



- a wall can inhibit wireless interactions;
- two components are too distant to interact;
- . . .



- a wall can inhibit wireless interactions;
- two components are too distant to interact;

The environment.

. . . .

 is used to model the intrinsic rules that govern the physical context;



- a wall can inhibit wireless interactions;
- two components are too distant to interact;

The environment...

. . . .

- is used to model the intrinsic rules that govern the physical context;
- consists of a pair (γ, ρ) :



- a wall can inhibit wireless interactions;
- two components are too distant to interact;

The environment...

. . .

- is used to model the intrinsic rules that govern the physical context;
- consists of a pair (γ, ρ) :
 - a global store γ , that models the overall state of the system;



- a wall can inhibit wireless interactions;
- two components are too distant to interact;

. . .

The environment...

- is used to model the intrinsic rules that govern the physical context;
- consists of a pair (γ, ρ) :
 - a global store γ , that models the overall state of the system;
 - an evolution rule ρ that regulates component interactions (receiving probabilities, action rates,...).





However the action descriptions to do include any information about the timing (unlike many other stochastic process algebras).



However the action descriptions to do include any information about the timing (unlike many other stochastic process algebras).

We also do not assume perfect communication, i.e. there may be a probability that an interaction will fail to complete even between components with appropriately match attributes.



However the action descriptions to do include any information about the timing (unlike many other stochastic process algebras).

We also do not assume perfect communication, i.e. there may be a probability that an interaction will fail to complete even between components with appropriately match attributes.

The environment manages these aspects of system behaviour, and others in the evolution rule.



 ρ is a function, dependent on current time, the global store and the current state of the collective, returns a tuple of functions $\varepsilon = \langle \mu_p, \mu_w, \mu_r, \mu_u \rangle$ known as the evaluation context

- μ_p(γ_s, γ_r, α): the probability that a component with store γ_r can receive a broadcast message α from a component with store γ_s;
- $\mu_w(\gamma_s, \gamma_r, \alpha)$: the weight to be used to compute the probability that a component with store γ_r can receive a unicast message α from a component with store γ_s ;
- $\mu_r(\gamma_s, \alpha)$ computes the execution rate of action α executed at a component with store γ_s ;
- $\mu_u(\gamma_s, \alpha)$ determines the updates on the environment (global store and collective) induced by the execution of action α at a component with store γ_s .

Outline



1 Introduction

- Collective Adaptive Systems
- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- 4 CARMA Operational semantics
- 5 CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action





These relations, defined following a Plotkin-style, are used to infer possible computations of a process.

premise conclusion Rule



These relations, defined following a Plotkin-style, are used to infer possible computations of a process.

 $\frac{\textit{premise}}{\textit{conclusion}} \text{ Rule}$

Note that, due to nondeterminism, starting from the same process, different evolutions can be inferred.



These relations, defined following a Plotkin-style, are used to infer possible computations of a process.

 $\frac{\textit{premise}}{\textit{conclusion}} \text{ Rule}$

Note that, due to nondeterminism, starting from the same process, different evolutions can be inferred.

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}$$
 Choice1

$$\frac{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}$$
 Choice2



However, in Stochastic Process Algebras, like ${\rm CARMA},$ there is not any form of nonterminism. . .



However, in Stochastic Process Algebras, like ${\rm CARMA},$ there is not any form of nonterminism. . .

... while the selection of possible next state is governed by a probability distribution.



However, in Stochastic Process Algebras, like ${\rm CARMA},$ there is not any form of nonterminism. . .

... while the selection of possible next state is governed by a probability distribution.

Standard compositional approaches are cumbersome and may fail when rich SPA are considered (e.g., when the multiplicity of transitions is important).



The operational semantics of CARMA is defined in the FuTS style.



In FUTS the behaviour of a term is described using a function that, given a term and a transition label, yields a function associating each component, collective, or system with a non-negative number.



In FUTS the behaviour of a term is described using a function that, given a term and a transition label, yields a function associating each component, collective, or system with a non-negative number.

The meaning of this value depends on the context:

the rate of the exponential distribution characterising the time needed for the execution of an action;



In FUTS the behaviour of a term is described using a function that, given a term and a transition label, yields a function associating each component, collective, or system with a non-negative number.

The meaning of this value depends on the context:

- the rate of the exponential distribution characterising the time needed for the execution of an action;
- the probability of receiving a given broadcast message;



In FUTS the behaviour of a term is described using a function that, given a term and a transition label, yields a function associating each component, collective, or system with a non-negative number.

The meaning of this value depends on the context:

- the rate of the exponential distribution characterising the time needed for the execution of an action;
- the probability of receiving a given broadcast message;
- the weight used to compute the probability that a given component is selected for the synchronisation.





the function C that describes the behaviour of a single component;



- the function C that describes the behaviour of a single component;
- the function N_ε builds on C to describe the behaviour of collectives;



- the function C that describes the behaviour of a single component;
- 2 the function N_ε builds on C to describe the behaviour of collectives;
- **3** the function \mathbb{S}_t that shows how CARMA systems evolve.



- the function C that describes the behaviour of a single component;
- 2 the function N_ε builds on C to describe the behaviour of collectives;
- **3** the function \mathbb{S}_t that shows how CARMA systems evolve.

In all cases the value zero is associated with unreachable terms.



The behaviour of a single component is defined by a function

 $\mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Comp} \times \operatorname{Lab} \to [\operatorname{Comp} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$



The behaviour of a single component is defined by a function

 $\mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Comp} \times \operatorname{Lab} \to [\operatorname{Comp} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$

where ${\rm LAB}$ denotes the set of transition labels $\ell :$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \ell & ::= & \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma & \text{Broadcast Output} \\ & | & \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}] (\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma & \text{Broadcast Input} \\ & | & \alpha[\pi_{s}] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma & \text{Unicast Output} \\ & | & \alpha[\pi_{s}] (\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma & \text{Unicast Input} \end{array}$



The behaviour of a single component is defined by a function

 $\mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Comp} \times \operatorname{Lab} \to [\operatorname{Comp} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$

where ${\rm LAB}$ denotes the set of transition labels $\ell :$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \ell & ::= & \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma & \text{Broadcast Output} \\ & | & \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}] (\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma & \text{Broadcast Input} \\ & | & \alpha[\pi_{s}] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma & \text{Unicast Output} \\ & | & \alpha[\pi_{s}] (\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma & \text{Unicast Input} \end{array}$

If $\mathbb{C}[C, \ell] = \mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}(C') = p$ then C evolves to C' with a weight p when ℓ is executed.

Component Semantics



$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathbb{C}[(\mathsf{nil},\gamma),\ell]} &= \emptyset \quad \mathsf{Nil} \qquad \overline{\mathbb{C}[0,\ell]} = \emptyset \quad \mathsf{Zero} \\ \\ \frac{\llbracket \pi_s \rrbracket_{\gamma} = \pi'_s \quad \llbracket \overrightarrow{e} \rrbracket_{\gamma} = \overrightarrow{\nu} \quad \mathbf{p} = \sigma(\gamma)}{\mathbb{C}[(\alpha^* [\pi_s] \langle \overrightarrow{e} \rangle \sigma.P, \gamma), \alpha^* [\pi'_s] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma] = (P, \mathbf{p})} \quad \mathsf{B-Out} \\ \\ \frac{\gamma_r \models \pi_s \quad \gamma_s \models \pi_r [\overrightarrow{\nu}/\overrightarrow{x}] \quad \mathbf{p} = \sigma[\overrightarrow{\nu}/\overrightarrow{x}](\gamma_2)}{\mathbb{C}[(\alpha^* [\pi_r] (\overrightarrow{x}) \sigma.P, \gamma_r), \alpha^* [\pi_s] (\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma_s] = (P[\overrightarrow{\nu}/\overrightarrow{x}], \mathbf{p})} \quad \mathsf{B-In} \\ \\ \frac{\mathbb{C}[(P, \gamma), \ell] = \mathscr{C}_1 \quad \mathbb{C}[(Q, \gamma), \ell] = \mathscr{C}_2}{\mathbb{C}[(P + Q, \gamma), \ell] = \mathscr{C}_1 \oplus \mathscr{C}_2} \quad \mathsf{Plus} \\ \\ \frac{\mathbb{C}[(P, Q, \gamma), \ell] = \mathscr{C}_1 \quad \mathbb{C}[(Q, \gamma), \ell] = \mathscr{C}_2}{\mathbb{C}[(P|Q, \gamma), \ell] = \mathscr{C}_1 |Q \oplus P|\mathscr{C}_2} \quad \mathsf{Par} \end{split}$$



The operational semantics of a collective is defined via the function

$\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}: \operatorname{Col} \times \operatorname{Lab}_{I} \to [\operatorname{Col} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$

defining how a collective reacts when a broadcast/unicast message is received.



The operational semantics of a collective is defined via the function

 $\mathbb{N}_{\epsilon}:\operatorname{Col}\times\operatorname{Lab}_{I}\to [\operatorname{Col}\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$

defining how a collective reacts when a broadcast/unicast message is received.

 LAB_I denotes the subset of LAB with only input labels:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \ell & ::= & \alpha^*[\pi_s](\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma & & \text{Broadcast Input} \\ & | & \alpha[\pi_s](\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma & & \text{Unicast Input} \end{array}$
Semantics of Collectives



$$\overline{\mathbb{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}[\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{\ell}]=\boldsymbol{\emptyset}} \ \textbf{Zero}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[(P,\gamma),\alpha^{\star}[\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}](\overrightarrow{\nu}),\gamma] = \mathscr{N} \quad \mathscr{N} \neq \emptyset \quad \varepsilon = \langle \mu_{\rho}, \mu_{w}, \mu_{r}, \mu_{u} \rangle}{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}[(P,\gamma),\alpha^{\star}[\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}](\overrightarrow{\nu}),\gamma] = \frac{\mu_{\rho}(\gamma,\alpha^{\star})}{\oplus \mathscr{N}} \cdot \mathscr{N} + [(P,\gamma) \mapsto (1-\mu_{\rho}(\gamma,\alpha^{\star})]} \text{ Comp-B-In}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}[N_{1}, \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}](\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma] = \mathscr{N}_{1} \quad \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}[N_{2}, \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}](\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma] = \mathscr{N}_{2}}{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}[N_{1} \parallel N_{2}, \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}](\overrightarrow{\nu}), \gamma] = \mathscr{N}_{1} \parallel \mathscr{N}_{2}} \quad \text{B-In-Sync}$$



The operational semantics of systems is defined via the function

$\mathbb{S}_t: \operatorname{Sys} \times \operatorname{Lab}_{\mathcal{S}} \to [\operatorname{Sys} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$



The operational semantics of systems is defined via the function

$\mathbb{S}_t: \operatorname{Sys} \times \operatorname{Lab}_{\mathcal{S}} \to [\operatorname{Sys} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]$

This function only considers synchronisation labels LAB5:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \ell & ::= & \alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma & \quad \text{Broadcast Output} \\ & | & \tau[\alpha[\pi_{s}] \langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma] & \quad \text{Unicast Synchronization} \end{array}$

Semantics of Systems Some rules...



$$\begin{split} \rho(t,\gamma_{g},N) &= \varepsilon = \langle \mu_{\rho}, \mu_{w}, \mu_{r}, \mu_{u} \rangle \quad \mu_{u}(\gamma_{g},\alpha^{\star}) = (\sigma,N') \\ \frac{\sum_{C \in N} \mathcal{N}(C) \cdot \mathsf{bSync}(C,N-C,\alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}]\langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma) = \mathscr{N}}{\mathbb{S}_{t}[N \text{ in } (\gamma_{g},\rho),\alpha^{\star}[\pi_{s}]\langle \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle, \gamma] = \mathscr{N} \parallel \mathcal{N}' \text{ in } (\sigma(\gamma_{g}),\rho)} \end{split} \text{ Sys-B}$$

where

$$\frac{\varepsilon = \langle \mu_p, \mu_w, \mu_r, \mu_u \rangle \quad \mathbb{C}[C, \alpha^*[\pi_s] \langle \overrightarrow{\vee} \rangle, \gamma] = \mathscr{C} \quad \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}[N, \alpha^*[\pi_s] \langle \overrightarrow{\vee} \rangle, \gamma] = \mathscr{N}}{\mathsf{bSync}_{\varepsilon}(C, N, \alpha^*[\pi_s] \langle \overrightarrow{\vee} \rangle, \gamma) \quad = \quad \mu_r(\gamma, \alpha^*[\pi_s] \langle \overrightarrow{\vee} \rangle, \gamma) \cdot \mathscr{C} \parallel \mathscr{N}}$$



The semantics of CARMA gives rise to a Continuous Time Markov Chain (CTMC).

This can be analysed by

- by numerical analysis of the CTMC for small systems;
- by stochastic simulation of the CTMC;
- by fluid approximation of the CTMC under certain restrictions (particularly on the environment).

Outline



1 Introduction

Collective Adaptive Systems

- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- **4** CARMA Operational semantics
- 5 CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action











CARMA is equipped with linguistic constructs specifically developed for modelling and programming systems that can operate in open-ended and unpredictable environments.





CARMA is equipped with linguistic constructs specifically developed for modelling and programming systems that can operate in open-ended and unpredictable environments.

However, CARMA is a SPA:

- concise syntax;
- parametric with respect to the used expressions and data types.





CARMA is equipped with linguistic constructs specifically developed for modelling and programming systems that can operate in open-ended and unpredictable environments.

However, CARMA is a SPA:

- concise syntax;
- parametric with respect to the used *expressions* and *data types*.

To facilitate the use of ${\rm CARMA}$ in the specification/analysis process of CAS we developed:

- a specifiation language;
- an Eclipse plug-in as a container for CARMA tools.



Bike Sharing System...

We want to use $\ensuremath{\mathrm{CARMA}}$ to model a bike sharing system where:

- bikes are made available in a number of stations that are placed in various areas of a city;
- Users that plan to use a bike for a short trip
 - can pick up a bike at a suitable origin station
 - return it to any other station close to their planned destination.
- we assume that the city is partitioned in homogeneous zones...
 - and that all the *stations* in the same zone can be equivalently used by any user in that zone.

Each ${\rm CARMA}$ specification, also named ${\rm CARMA}$ model, provides definitions for:

- structured data types;
- constants and functions;
- prototypes of components;
- collective of components;
- systems composed by collective and environment;
- measures, that identify the relevant data to retrieve during simulation runs.

quantico





22nd June 2016	63 / 90





Basic data types

- **bool**, for booleans;
- int, for integers;
- **real**, for real values.





Basic data types

- bool, for booleans;
- int, for integers;
- **real**, for real values.

Collections

- Sets: { *exp*₁,...,*exp*_n }
- Arrays: [: *exp*₁,...,*exp*_n :]





Basic data types

- bool, for booleans;
- int, for integers;
- **real**, for real values.

Collections

- Sets: { *exp*₁,...,*exp*_n }
- Arrays: [: exp₁,...,exp_n :]

Custom data types

- Enumerations: enum name = elem₁,...,elem_n;
- Records: record name = [type₁ field₁,..., type_n field_n];

. . .



In CASL syntax of *expressions* includes:

- standard arithmetic and logical operations (+,-,...)
- common functions (log,sin,...)
- conditional expression (exp1?exp2:exp3)
- special value now, indicating the current time

A limited set of expression has to be used when specific analysis tools (*fluid semantics*) are used.





[Add here a table with a list of relevant operators!]



A CARMA specification can also contain *constants* and *functions* declarations having the following syntax:

```
const name = expression;
fun type name( type1 arg1,..., typek argk ) {
    ...
}
```



Variable declaration, assignment and return:

type var = exp; var = exp; return exp;

If-then-else:

```
if (exp) { ... } else { ... }
```

Iterators:

CASL: Constants and Functions Example...



[ADD HERE TWO EXAMPLES OF FUNCTIONS]

22nd June 2016 68 / 90

CASL: Component Prototypes









Two kinds of components, one for each of the two groups of agents involved in our BSS, can be considered:

- parking stations;
- users.

PS attributes:

- zone: indicates where the station is located;
- capacity: the number of slots installed in the station;
- available: the number of available bikes.

User attributes:

- zone: current user location;
- dest: user destination.

CASL: Component Prototypes Example: users and stations



quantico

www.guanticol.eu





The block behaviour is used to define the component behaviour...

... it consists of a sequence of *process definitions*

```
behaviour {
    proc1 = pdef1;
    ...
    procn = pdefn;
}
```

... that associate each process name with alternative actions.

$\underset{\text{Actions...}}{\operatorname{CaSL:}} \text{ Component Prototypes}$



$$[guard] act [pred] < exp_1 , ... , exp_n > \{ attr_1 = exp_1; \\ ... \\ attr_n = exp_n; \\ \}$$

Input actions:

quanticol

www.guanticol.eu



Two processes are defined at Station component that model the procedures to *get* and *returning* a bike:

- $$\label{eq:G} \begin{split} \mathsf{G} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{my.\,available} > \mathsf{0} \end{bmatrix} \ \mathsf{get} \diamondsuit \ \{ \ \mathsf{my.\,available} \ := \ \mathsf{my.\,} \\ & \mathsf{available} \ -1 \ \mathsf{s} \, \} . \mathsf{G}; \end{split}$$
- R = [my.available <my.capacity] ret <>{ my.available := my.available+1 }.R;

Procedures *get* and *returning* are modelled via *unicast output* over get and ret:

- get is enabled when there are bikes available (my.available>0);
- get is enabled when there are available slots
 (my.available<my.capacity).</pre>

CASL: Component Prototypes Example: User behaviour



Each user can be in three different states...



... P, denoting a *pedestrian*:

$$P = get[my.loc == loc]().B;$$



... P, denoting a *pedestrian*:

$$P = get[my.loc = loc]().B;$$

... a *pedestrian* executes unicast input get to collect a bike from a station located in his/her current zone (my.zone == zone) and then becomes a *bikers*:

 $B = move*[false] <> \{my.loc := my.dest \}.W;$



... P, denoting a *pedestrian*:

$$P = get[my.loc = loc]().B;$$

... a *pedestrian* executes unicast input get to collect a bike from a station located in his/her current zone (my.zone == zone) and then becomes a *bikers*:

 $B = move*[false] <> {my.loc := my.dest}.W;$

... in that state a user *move* to the final destination and then *waits* for a slot:

W = ret[my.loc == loc]().kill;



... P, denoting a *pedestrian*:

$$P = get[my.loc = loc]().B;$$

... a *pedestrian* executes unicast input get to collect a bike from a station located in his/her current zone (my.zone == zone) and then becomes a *bikers*:

 $B = move*[false] <> \{my.loc := my.dest \}.W;$

... in that state a user *move* to the final destination and then *waits* for a slot: SPONTANEOUS ACTION!

W = ret[my.loc = loc]().kill;



... P, denoting a *pedestrian*:

$$P = get[my.loc = loc]().B;$$

... a *pedestrian* executes unicast input get to collect a bike from a station located in his/her current zone (my.zone == zone) and then becomes a *bikers*:

 $B = move*[false] <> {my.loc := my.dest}.W;$

... in that state a user *move* to the final destination and then *waits* for a slot: SPONTANEOUS ACTION!

$$W = ret[my.loc = loc]().kill;$$

... when a slot in the same zone is found, the user *disappear*.



```
component Station ( int zone , int capacity , int
    available ) {
  store {
      zone = zone:
      available = available;
      capacity = capacity:
    }
    behaviour {
    G = [my.available > 0] get <> {
        my. available := my. available -1
    }.G;
    R = [my. available < my. capacity] ret <> {
        my. available := my. available+1
    }.R;
  init \{ G | R \}
```



```
component User( int loc , int dest ) {
   store {
      loc = loc;
      dest = dest;
    }
    behaviour {
      P = get[ my.loc == loc ]().B;
      B = move*[ false ]<>{ my.loc := my.dest }.W;
      W = ret[ my.loc == loc ]().kill;
    }
   init { P }
}
```


To model the of new users, another component is considered in our model:

```
component Arrival( int loc ) {
  store {
    attrib loc := loc;
  }
  behaviour {
    A = arrival*[false]<>.A;
  }
  init {
    A
  }
}
```



To model the of new users, another component is considered in our model:

```
component Arrival( int loc ) {
  store {
    attrib loc := loc;
  }
  behaviour {
    A = arrival*[false]<>.A;
  }
  init {
    A
  }
}
```

Above $_{\tt zone}$ indicates the zone indicates the location where users arrive.





Block collective can be used to define groups of components: collective name (type1 var1 , ... , typen varn) { ... }

BSS Collective:

```
collective bssCollective( int zones , int n ) {
  for ( i ; i<zones ; 1 ) {
    new Station( i , C, A )< n >;
  }
  new Arrival( i );
}
```



A system definition consists of two blocks, namely collective and environment:

```
system name {
   collective collective
   environment { ···
   }
}
```



A system definition consists of two blocks, namely collective and environment:

```
system name {
   collective collective
   environment { ···
   }
}
```

BSS System:

```
system Scenario {
    collective bssCollective(10, 10, 10)
    environment { ···
    }
}
```





Syntax of an block environment is the following:

```
environment {
   store { ··· }
   prob { ··· }
   weight { ··· }
   rate { ··· }
   update { ··· }
}
```



In our scenario use a global attribute to count the amount of *active users* in the system:

```
store {
   attrib users := 0;
}
```

CASL: Environment Example: BSS Environment (1/3)

```
weight {
  get {
    return ReceivingProb(#{User[P]|my.loc==sender.loc
        })
  ret
    return ReceivingProb(#{User[W]|my.loc==sender.loc
       });
  default {
    return 1;
```

quantico

www.quanticol.eu

CASL: Environment Example: BSS Environment (2/3)



```
rate{
  get { return get_rate; }
  ret { return ret_rate; }
  move* { return move_rate; }
  arrival* { (global.users<TOTAL_USERS?arrival_rate
        :0.0); }
}</pre>
```

CASL: Environment Example: BSS Environment (3/3)



```
update {
  arrival* {
    users := global.users+1;
    new User( sender.zone , U[0:ZONES-1] );
  }
  ret {
    users := global.users-1;
  }
}
```



To extract observations from a model, a CARMA specification also contains a set of *measures*:

measure $m_name(type_1 var_1, \ldots, type_1 var_n) = expr;$

```
measure AverageBikes( int z ) =
    avg{ my.available | my.zone == z };
measure MinBikes( int z ) =
    min{ my.available | my.zone == z };
measure MaxBikes( int z ) =
    max{ my.available | my.zone == z };
```

Outline



1 Introduction

Collective Adaptive Systems

- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- **4** CARMA Operational semantics
- **5** CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action















Frontend	CARMA Editor	Carma	CARMA
	(Xtext based)	views	handlers





Tools





















The Carma Eclipse Plug-in



LIVE DEMO

I esource - TestProject/src/model/Model.carma - Eclipse Platform					
🖆 🗉 🗅 🗳 💁 🖉 👰 🖉 🔶 🗢				Quick Access	
🖒 Project Explorer 🕄 🛛 😑 😫	🗢 🗇 👔 Model.carma 🛙			° 0	
v to the second	<pre>fm red LorenseR(it is a constant) ditg : Aturn rel Army : (st.30) if (resp > m) (res.30) return resp: } constant resp: constant resp: co</pre>	<pre>inf mreal Exceeded(int (energy, red) [3] ("(s., red)); mreal point is intervent(StateMarker(2), (ede)); red point is intervent(StateMarker(2), (ede)); //excluse point is intervent intervent return reag; } entervent same(Int (ede); red); entervent is intervent intervent in Laurent(st entervent in (ede); red); entervent intervent intervent intervent is Laurent(st entervent in (ede); red); entervent intervent intervent intervent intervent entervent intervent intervent intervent intervent intervent intervent entervent intervent intervent intervent intervent intervent intervent intervent intervent entervent intervent interven</pre>			
Outline 23 10 > 100 MG > 100 MG > 100 MG > 100 MG > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed > 100 Appendixed	BRADEXIST BRADEXIST CONTRACT CONTRACT BP UPSCIPACE CONTRACT	<pre>s and payoffs; GR: Cenerate rando late; iS-Sera Kae Four state wars, payoffs, altribilg f: = Retu (rng := RND).DU; ameposer*.SP + [rng >= lambd]cha < AlphaCJdecreasegower* fg: = Dec > - !AlphaCJ increasegower* fg: > D pf >= -1*AlphaCJsamepower*.</pre>	<pre>m; DU: Decide to update rnVel(psyoffs_attrib, my.i), p ngeupdate*.UP; reaseP(my.i, my.p)}.SP = IncreaseP(my.i, my.p)}.SP SP;</pre>	p := ReturnVal(powers, my.ij).Gt;	
V III Apha F III sunrameda	Taska	Experiment Results View II // Scor	ch	🔛 V - C	
	payeths 0 mme M 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Bit Mindred Develop- 0003299021 - 0.24420263344 - 1003299051 - 0.45663468900 - 000329058 - 0.45663468900 - 001671020, 0.4160369050- 0.44209022 - 0.4510940345 - 0.44209022 - 0.44179463454 - 0.43179461794 - 0.4517946742 - 460300417 - 4.4104064971 - 125019900, 4.417300782 - 179444021 - 0.3531100024 - 164449131 - 0.3531100024 - 164449131 - 0.3531100024 - 164449131 - 0.451300782 -			
► TE sunnamed>	1000	1	Witable Insert 2	241 : 62	

Outline



1 Introduction

Collective Adaptive Systems

- Quantitative Analysis
- 2 Modelling CAS
- 3 CARMA
 - The CARMA Modelling Language
- **4** CARMA Operational semantics
- 5 CASL:CARMA Specification Language
- 6 CARMA Eclipse plug-in
- 7 CARMA and its tools in Action