

Asymptotic Average Solutions to Linear Second Order Semi-Elliptic PDEs: A Pizzetti-Type Theorem

Alessia E. Kogoj¹ · Ermanno Lanconelli²

Received: 13 August 2022 / Accepted: 13 January 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2023

Abstract

By exploiting an old idea first used by Pizzetti for the classical Laplacian, we introduce a notion of *asymptotic average solutions* making pointwise solvable every Poisson equation $\mathcal{L}u(x) = -f(x)$ with continuous data f, where \mathcal{L} is a hypoelliptic linear partial differential operator with positive semidefinite characteristic form.

Keywords Asymptotic mean value formulas · Semi-elliptic operators · Hypoelliptic operators · Poisson-type equations

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) $35D99 \cdot 35B05 \cdot 35J70 \cdot 35H10$

1 Introduction

The Poisson-type equations related to hypoelliptic linear second order PDE's with nonnegative characteristic form cannot be studied in L^p spaces due to the lack of a suitable Calderon-Zygmund theory for the relevant singular integrals. Our paper presents a result allowing to satisfactory study such equations in spaces of continuous functions. We follow a procedure introduced by Pizzetti in his 1909's paper [14] based on the asymptotic average solutions for the classical Poisson-Laplace equation.

Alessia E. Kogoj alessia.kogoj@uniurb.it

> Ermanno Lanconelli ermanno.lanconelli@unibo.it

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Pure e Applicate (DiSPeA), Università degli Studi di Urbino Carlo Bo, Piazza della Repubblica 13, 61029 Urbino (PU), Italy

² Dipartimento di Matematica. Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Piazza di Porta San Donato 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy

1.1 The Classical Pizzetti Theorem

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 3$, and let $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous bounded function. Let us denote by u_f the Newtonian potential of f, i.e.,

$$u_f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \qquad u_f(x) := \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(y - x) f(y) \, dy.$$

Here Γ denotes the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation, i.e.,

$$\Gamma(x) = c_n |x|^{2-n}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},\$$

 ω_n being the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n and $c_n := \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n}$.

It is well known that $u_f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, while, in general, $u_f|_{\Omega} \notin C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. However, in the weak sense of distributions,

$$\Delta u_f = -f \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

As a consequence, if the continuous function f is such that

$$u_f \notin C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), \tag{1.2}$$

then the Poisson equation

$$\Delta v = -f \tag{1.3}$$

has no classical solutions, i.e., there does not exist a function $v \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\Delta v(x) = -f(x)$$
 for every $x \in \Omega$.

Indeed, assume by contradiction that such a function exists. Then, by Eq. 1.1,

$$\Delta(u_f - v) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

in the weak sense of distributions, so that, by Caccioppoli–Weyl's Lemma, there exists a function h, harmonic in Ω , such that

$$u_f(x) - v(x) = h(x)$$

a.e. in Ω . Therefore, $u_f - v$ being continuous in Ω ,

$$u_f = v + h \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}),$$

in contradiction with Eq. 1.2. This proves the existence of continuous functions f such that the Poisson Eq. 1.3 is not *pointwise* solvable. In his paper [14], Pizzetti introduced a notion of *pointwise weak Laplacian*, making pointwise solvable every Poisson equation with continuous data. Pizzetti started from the following remark. Given a function u of class C^2 in Ω one has

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{2(n+2)} \Delta u(x)$$
(1.4)

for every $x \in \Omega$. Here M_r denotes the *Gauss average*

$$M_r(u)(x) := \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy,$$

|B(x, r)| being the volume of B(x, r), the Euclidean ball centered at x with radius r. Then, if $u \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ is such that the limit at the left hand side of Eq. 1.4 exists at a point $x \in \Omega$, Pizzetti defines

$$\Delta_a u(x) := 2(n+2) \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{r^2}.$$

O Springer

We call $\Delta_a u(x)$ the *asymptotic average Laplacian* of u at x. Keeping in mind Eq. 1.4, if $u \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$\Delta_a u(x) = \Delta u(x)$$
 for every $x \in \Omega$.

We denote by

 $\mathcal{A}(\Omega, \Delta)$

the class of functions $u \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, such that $\Delta_a u(x)$ exists at any point $x \in \Omega$. Obviously, $\mathcal{A}(\Omega, \Delta)$ is a (linear) sub-space of $C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by the previous remark,

$$C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\Omega, \Delta).$$

Pizzetti proved that the Newtonian potentials of continuous bounded functions are contained in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega, \Delta)$. Precisely he proved the following theorem.

Theorem A (Pizzetti Theorem) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 3$, be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded continuous function. Then

$$u_f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega, \Delta)$$

and

 $\Delta_a u_f = -f \text{ in } \Omega.$

The aim of this paper is to extend the notion of asymptotic average solution and Pizzetti's Theorem to the class of linear second order semi-elliptic partial differential operators that we will introduce in the next subsection.

1.2 Our Operators

We will deal with partial differential operators of the type

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_i}(\partial_{x_j} a_{ij}(x)), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(1.5)

where $A(x) := (a_{ij} = a_{ji})_{i,j=1,...,n}$ is a symmetric nonnegative definite matrix,

 $x \longmapsto a_{ji}(x), \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, n$

are smooth functions in \mathbb{R}^n and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii}(x) > 0 \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

Together with these qualitative properties we assume that \mathcal{L} is hypoelliptic in \mathbb{R}^n and endowed with a smooth fundamental solution

$$\Gamma : \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \neq y \} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

such that

- (i) $\Gamma(x, y) = \Gamma(y, x) > 0$, for every $x \neq y$;
- (ii) $\lim_{x \to y} \Gamma(x, y) = \infty$, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (iii) $\lim_{x\to\infty} (\sup_{y\in K} \Gamma(x, y)) = 0$, for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (iv) $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We recall that when we say that Γ is a fundamental solution of \mathcal{L} we mean that, for every $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x, y) \,\mathcal{L}\varphi(y) \,dy = -\varphi(x).$$

1.3 Examples of our Operators

Important examples of operators satisfying our assumptions are the "sum of squares" of homogeneous Hörmander vector fields. Precisely: let

$$X = \{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$$

be a family of linearly independent smooth vector fields such that

(H1) X_1, \ldots, X_m satisfy the Hörmander rank condition at x = 0, that is,

$$\dim\{Y(0) \mid Y \in \text{Lie}\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}\} = n;$$

(H2) X_1, \ldots, X_m are homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to a group of dilations $(\delta_{\lambda})_{\lambda>0}$ of the following type

$$\delta_{\lambda}: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\delta_{\lambda}(x) = \delta_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda^{\sigma} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\sigma_n} x_n),$$

where the σ_j 's are natural numbers such that $1 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \ldots \leq \sigma_n$.

Then,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j^2 \tag{1.6}$$

satisfies all the assumptions listed in Section 1.2 (see [1, 2]).

We stress that the sub-Laplacians on stratified Lie groups in \mathbb{R}^n are particular cases of the operator \mathcal{L} in Eq. 1.6.

1.4 Asymptotic Average Solutions and Main Results

The extension of Pizzetti's Theorem to the operator \mathcal{L} in Eq. 1.5 rests on some representation formulas on the superlevel set of Γ . If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and r > 0, define

$$\Omega_r(x) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Gamma(x, y) > \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

We will call $\Omega_r(x)$ the \mathcal{L} -ball centered at x and with radius r. It is easy to recognize that $\Omega_r(x)$ is a nonempty bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover

$$\bigcap_{r>0} \Omega_r(x) = \{x\}$$
(1.7)

and¹

$$\frac{|\Omega_r(x)|}{r} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \longrightarrow 0$$

¹If *E* is a measurable set of \mathbb{R}^n , |E| denotes its Lebesgue measure.

Remark 1.1 If $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$, then

$$\Omega_r(x) = B(x, \rho), \text{ with } \rho = (c_n r)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}.$$

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and let $u \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Then, for every \mathcal{L} -ball, $\Omega_r(x)$ such that $\overline{\Omega_r(x)} \subseteq \Omega$ and for every $\alpha > -1$ we have

$$u(x) = M_r(u)(x) - N_r(\mathcal{L}u)(x),$$
(1.8)

where M_r and N_r are the following average operators:

$$M_{r}(u)(x) := \frac{\alpha + 1}{r^{\alpha + 1}} \int_{\Omega_{r}(x)} u(y) K(x, y) \, dy, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$K(x, y) := \frac{\langle A(y)\nabla_{y}\Gamma(x, y), \nabla_{y}\Gamma(x, y)\rangle}{(\Gamma(x, y))^{\alpha+2}};$$

$$N_{r}(w)(x) := \frac{\alpha+1}{r^{\alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{\alpha} \left(\int_{\Omega_{r}(x)} \left(\Gamma(x, y) - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) w(y) \, dy \right) \, d\rho.$$
(1.10)

The proof of the representation formula Eq. 1.8 can be found in [4].

Remark 1.2 If $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ and $\alpha = \frac{2}{n-2}$, then the kernel *K* is constant and M_r becomes the Gauss average on the Euclidean ball $B(x, \rho)$, with $\rho = (c_n r)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$.

Letting

$$Q_r(x) := N_r(1) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{r^{\alpha + 1}} \int_0^r \rho^\alpha \left(\int_{\Omega_r(x)} (\Gamma(x, y) - \frac{1}{\rho}) \, dy \right) d\rho, \tag{1.11}$$

an easy computation shows that

$$Q_r(x) = \int_0^r \frac{\Omega_{\rho}(x)}{\rho^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^{\alpha+1} \right) \, d\rho.$$

Remark 1.3 If $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$ and $\alpha = \frac{2}{n-2}$, then, letting $\rho = (c_n r)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$, we get

$$\frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)} = 2(n+2)\frac{\frac{1}{|B(x,\rho)|}\int_{B(x,\rho)} u(y) \, dy - u(0)}{\rho^2}$$

so that, by Eq. 1.4,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)} = \Delta u(x).$$
(1.12)

The limit in Eq. 1.12 extends to all the operators \mathcal{L} in Eq. 1.5. Indeed, if u is a C^2 function in an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, from the representation formula Eq. 1.8 and the identity Eq. 1.7, using Corollary 2.5 in Section 2, one immediately gets

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)} = \mathcal{L}u(x).$$

Then, in analogy with the case $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.4 Let \mathcal{L} be a partial differential operator satisfying the assumptions of Section 1.2 and let *u* be a continuous function in an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that

$$u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega, \mathcal{L}),$$

if

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{O_r(x)}$$

exists in \mathbb{R} at every point $x \in \Omega$. In this case we define

$$(\mathcal{L}_a(u))(x) := \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)}.$$

Furthermore, if $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega, \mathcal{L})$ such that

 $(\mathcal{L}_a u)(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in \Omega$,

we say that *u* is an *asymptotic average solution to*

$$\mathcal{L}_a u = f \text{ in } \Omega.$$

In the case f = 0 this definition was first introduced in the paper [6].

The main result of our paper is the following theorem which extends Pizzetti's Theorem to the operators Eq. 1.5.

Theorem 1.5 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a compactly supported continuous function. Define

$$u_f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x, y) f(y) \, dy, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then, $u_f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L})$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_a u_f = -f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will prove this theorem in the next section. Here, by using a result in [6], we show a consequence of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.6 Let $f, u : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be compactly supported continuous functions. Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_a u = -f$$
 in \mathbb{R}^n

if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}u = -f \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof By the previous Theorem 1.5,

$$\mathcal{L}_a u = -f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

 ~ 0.5 mⁿ

if and only if

Then, by Corollary 3.4 in [6],
$$u - u_f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$$
 and
 $\mathcal{L}(u - u_f) = 0$

c (

in the classical sense (and vice versa). Since \mathcal{L} is hypoelliptic, this is equivalent to say that

$$\mathcal{L}(u-u_f)=0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

or that

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(u_f) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{1.13}$$

Deringer

On the other hand, Γ being a fundamental solution of \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{L}(u_f) = -f$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, Eq. 1.13 can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}u = -f \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This completes the proof.

1.5 Bibliographical Note

In recent years asymptotic mean value formulas characterizing classical or viscosity solutions to linear and nonlinear second order Partial Differential Equations have been proved by many authors; we refer to [3, 5-8, 10-13]. In those papers one can find quite exhaustive bibliography on this subject.

We would also like to quote the papers [4] and [9] where the notion of asymptotic subharmonic function is introduced in sub-Riemannian settings to extend classical results by Blaschke, Privaloff, Reade and Saks.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

For the readers' convenience, we split this section in some subsections.

2.1 A First Lemma

Let *G* be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let r > 0. Define

$$G_r := \bigcup_{x \in G} \Omega_r(x).$$
(2.1)

Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 For every compact set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every r > 0, the set \overline{G}_r is compact.

Proof It is enough to prove that G_r is bounded. We argue by contradiction and assume that G_r is not bounded. Then, there exists a sequence (z_n) in G_r such that

$$|z_n| \longrightarrow \infty$$
.

By the very definition of G_r , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in G$ such that $z_n \in \Omega_r(x_n)$. This means that

$$\Gamma(x_n,z_n)>\frac{1}{r}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\frac{1}{r} < \Gamma(x_n, z_n) \le \sup_{x \in G} \Gamma(x, z_n),$$

so that, by the assumption (iii) related to Γ

$$0 < \frac{1}{r} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{x \in G} \Gamma(x, z_n) \right) = 0.$$

This contradiction shows that G_r is bounded.

2.2 A Second Lemma

In this subsection we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 Let G be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let r > 0. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_r(G)$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in G} Q_r(x) \le C_r(G).$$
(2.2)

Proof Keeping in mind the definition of $Q_r(x)$ (see Eq. 1.11) for every $x \in G$ we get

$$Q_{r}(x) \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{r^{\alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{\alpha} \left(\int_{\Omega_{r}(x)} \Gamma(x, y) \, dy \right) \, d\rho$$

$$\leq (by(2.1)) \quad \frac{\alpha+1}{r^{\alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{\alpha} \left(\int_{G_{r}} \Gamma(x, y) \, dy \right) \, d\rho.$$
(2.3)

On the other hand, if $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $\varphi = 1$ on $G_r, \varphi \ge 0$ (such a function exists thanks to Lemma 2.1), we have

$$\int_{G_r} \Gamma(x, y) \, dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \Gamma(x, y) \, dy$$
$$\leq \sup_{x \in G} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \Gamma(x, y) \, dy$$
$$= C_{\varphi}(G).$$

Using this estimate in Eq. 2.3 we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in G} Q_r(x) \leq C_{\varphi}(G) \frac{\alpha + 1}{r^{\alpha + 1}} \int_0^r \rho^{\alpha} d\rho$$
$$= C_{\varphi}(G) := C_r(G).$$

Remark 2.3 Since $Q_{\rho}(x) \subseteq Q_r(x)$ for every $\rho \in]0, r[$, we can assume

 $C_{\rho}(G) \leq C_r(G)$

for every $0 < \rho < r$.

2.3 A Topological Lemma

Now, we show a kind of continuity property of the $\Omega_r(x)$ balls with respect to the Euclidean topology. Precisely, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every R > 0 there exists r > 0 such that $\Omega_r(x) \subset B(x, R)$.

Proof We still argue by contradiction and assume the existence of R > 0 such that $\Omega_r(x) \notin B(x, R)$ for every r > 0. Then, if (r_n) is a sequence of real positive numbers such that $r_n \searrow 0$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $y_n \in \Omega_{r_n}(x)$ such that

$$y_n \notin B(x, R).$$

Deringer

This means

$$y_n \notin B(x, R)$$
 and $\Gamma(x, y_n) > \frac{1}{r_n}$.

Since $\Gamma(x, y) \longrightarrow 0$ as $y \longrightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{1}{r_n} \longrightarrow \infty$, the sequence (y_n) is bounded. As a consequence, we may assume

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y^*$$

for a suitable $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $y^* \notin B(x, R)$. In particular $y \neq x$ so that $\Gamma(x, y) < \infty$. On the other hand,

$$\Gamma(x, y^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Gamma(x, y_n) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} = \infty.$$

This contradiction proves the lemma.

From the previous lemma we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sup_{y\in\Omega_r(x)}|f(y)-f(x)|\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } r\longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof Since f is continuous at x, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists R > 0 such that

$$\sup_{y\in B(x,r)}|f(y)-f(x)|<\varepsilon.$$

By the previous lemma, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\Omega_{r_0}(x) \subseteq B(x, r)$. Then, for every $r < r_0$,

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| \le \sup_{y \in \Omega_{r_0}(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| \le \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

We have so proved that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for every $r < r_0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \left(\sup_{y \in \Omega_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| \right) = 0.$$

2.4 A Poisson-Jensen-type Formula

Let f as in Theorem 1.5 and, to simplify the notation, let us denote u_f by u. The aim of this subsection is to prove the following identity:

$$u(x) = M_r(u)(x) + N_r(f)(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(2.4)

To this end we choose a sequence (f_p) in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with the following properties:

- (i) there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that supp $f \subseteq K$ and supp $f_p \subseteq K$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) $\sup_K |f_p f| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \to \infty.$

For simplicity reasons, let us put $u_p = u_{f_p}$, i.e.,

$$u_p(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x, y) f_p(y) \, dy = \int_K \Gamma(x, y) f_p(y) \, dy.$$

Then, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem,

$$u(x) = \lim_{p \to \infty} u_p(x) = \int_K \Gamma(x, y) \lim_{p \to \infty} f_p(y) \, dy,$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Actually, we have a stronger result. For every compact set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sup_{G} |u_p - u| \le \sup_{x \in G} \left| \int_{K} \Gamma(x, y) (f_p(y) - f(y)) \, dy \right|$$
$$\le \sup_{K} |f_p - f| \sup_{x \in G} \int_{K} \Gamma(x, y) \, dy$$
$$= C(G, K) \sup_{K} |f_p - f|.$$

We explicitly observe that C(G, K) is a strictly positive finite constant.

Hence,

$$\sup_{G} |u_p - u| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \longrightarrow \infty.$$
(2.5)

Moreover, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $u_p \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}u_p = -f_p$.

Then, by identity Eq. 1.8,

$$u_p(x) = M_r(u_p)(x) - N_r(\mathcal{L}u_p)(x)$$

= $M_r(u_p)(x) + N_r(f_p)(x)$

for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have already noticed that $u_p(x) \longrightarrow u(x)$ as $p \longrightarrow \infty$. To prove Eq. 2.4 we now show that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} M_r(u_p)(x) = M_r(u)(x)$$
(2.6)

and

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} N_r(f_p)(x) = N_r(f)(x).$$
(2.7)

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|M_r(u_p)(x) - M_r(u)(x)| = |M_r(u_p - u)(x)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\Omega_r(x)} |u_p - u| M_1(1)(x)$$

$$= \sup_{\Omega_r(x)} |u_p - u|.$$

Since $\overline{\Omega_r(x)}$ is compact (see Lemma 2.1), and keeping in mind Eq. 2.5, the last right hand side goes to zero as $p \to \infty$. Then,

$$|M_r(u_p)(x) - M_r(u)(x)| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \longrightarrow \infty,$$

proving Eq. 2.6.

Deringer

Let us now prove Eq. 2.7. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$|N_r(f_p)(x) - N_r(f)(x)| \le |N_r(|f_p - f|)(x)| \le \sup_K |f_p - f|Q_r(x).$$

Then, for every compact set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sup_{G} |N_r(f_p) - N_r(f)| \leq \sup_{K} |f_p - f| \sup_{x \in G} |Q_r(x)|$$

$$\leq (by(2.2)) \quad C_r(G) \sup_{K} |f_p - f|.$$

So we have proved that $(N_r(f_p))$ is uniformly convergent to $N_r(f)$ on every compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . This, in particular, implies Eq. 2.7.

2.5 Conclusion

In this subsection we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. To this end we first remark that, thanks to Eq. 2.4, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)} = -\frac{N_r(f)(x)}{Q_r(x)}$$

so that, as f(x) is constant with respect to $y \in \Omega_r(x)$,

$$\left|\frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)} + f(x)\right| = \frac{1}{Q_r(x)} |N_r(f(x) - f)(x)| \le \sup_{y \in \Omega_r(x)} |f(u) - f(y)| Q_r(x)$$

By Corollary 2.5 and Remark 2.3, the left hand side of the previous inequality goes to zero as $r \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{M_r(u)(x) - u(x)}{Q_r(x)} = -f(x)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Funding The first author has been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

Data Availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of Interests The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Biagi, S., Bonfiglioli, A.: The existence of a global fundamental solution for homogeneous hörmander operators via a global lifting method. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 114(5), 855–889 (2017)
- Biagi, S., Bonfiglioli, A., Bramanti, M.: Global estimates for the fundamental solution of homogeneous Hörmander operators. Ann. Mat Pura Appl. (4) 201(4), 1875–1934 (2022)
- Blanc, P., Charro, F., Manfredi, J.J., Rossi, J.D.: Asymptotic mean-value formulas for solutions of general second-order elliptic equations. Adv Nonlinear Stud. 22(1), 118–142 (2022)
- Bonfiglioli, A., Lanconelli, E.: Subharmonic functions in sub-R,iemannian settings. J. Eur. Math Soc. (JEMS) 15(2), 387–441 (2013)

- Ferrari, F.: Mean value properties of fractional second order operators. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 14(1), 83–106 (2015)
- Gutiérrez, C.E., Lanconelli, E.: Classical viscosity and average solutions for PDE's with nonnegative characteristic form. Atti Accad. Naz, Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 15(1), 17–28 (2004)
- Ishiwata, M., Magnanini, R., Wadade, H.: A natural approach to the asymptotic mean value property for the *p*-Laplacian. Calc. Var Partial Differential Equations 56(4), 97, 22 (2017)
- Kawohl, B., Manfredi, J.J., Parviainen, M.: Solutions of nonlinear PDEs in the sense of averages. J. Math Pures Appl. (9) 97(2), 173–188 (2012)
- Kogoj, A.E., Tralli, G.: Blaschke, Privaloff, Reade and Saks theorems for diffusion equations on Lie groups. Potential Anal. 38(4), 1103–1122 (2013)
- Lindqvist, P., Manfredi, J.J.: On the mean value property for the *p*-Laplace equation in the plane. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144(1), 143–149 (2016)
- Manfredi, J.J., Parviainen, M., Rossi, J.D.: An asymptotic mean value characterization for a class of nonlinear parabolic equations related to tug-of-war games. SIAM J. Math Anal. 42(5), 2058–2081 (2010)
- Manfredi, J.J., Parviainen, M., Rossi, J.D.: An asymptotic mean value characterization for *p*-harmonic functions. Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 138(3), 881–889 (2010)
- Mebrate, B., Mohammed, A.: Harnack inequality and an asymptotic mean-value property for the Finsler infinity-Laplacian. Adv. Calc. Var. 14(3), 365–382 (2021)
- Pizzetti, P.: Sulla media dei valori che una funzione dei punti dello spazio assume alla superficie di una sfera. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. Serie V(18), 182–185 (1909)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.