

# Blockchain: what it is and why it matters

Progetto di Ricerca di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale - PRIN 2020 "Nirvana - Noninterference and Reversibility Analysis In Private Blockchains" - N. 20202FCJMH

### Laura Ricci

# Dipartimento di Informatica Università degli Studi di Pisa

# 28<sup>th</sup> of April 2022

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# THE PISA DISTRIBUTED LEDGER LAB

- Permanent/semi-permanent position
  - Laura Ricci associate professor
  - Fabrizio Baiardi, full professor
  - Paolo Mori, IIT CNR, Pisa
  - Barbara Guidi, RTD-B
  - Damiano Di Francesco Maesa, RTD-A
  - Andrea Michienzi, RTD-A
  - Andrea De Salve, ISASI, Lecce
- PhD
  - Andrea Lisi
  - Matteo Loporchio
  - Domenico Tortola
- Collaboration
  - Andrea Marino, University of Florence
  - Anna Bernasconi, University of Pisa
  - Roberto Di Pietro, Hamad Bin Kalifa University, Quatar
  - Nishanth Sastry, University of Surrey



https://sites.google.com/unipi.it/pisadltlaboratory



Welcome to the *Pisa Distributed Ledger Laboratory*. We are a research group of young (and less young) researchers very passionate about designing, analyzing, and developing **distributed ledger-based solutions** (mainly blockchain) and **distributed social media**. The group was founded and is led by **Prof. Laura Ricci** and is mostly based at the Department of Computer Science, University of Pisa, but it has several worldwide collaborations. Currently, the PISA DLT LAB Lab includes 5 permanent members, 1 post-doc, 3 Ph.D. students, and various collaborators.

We invite you to have a look at the topics we cover as well as the full list of collaborations we have.



LOCKCHAIN



ANALYSIS



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# PISA DISTRIBUTED LEDGER LABORATORY

- what are we doing? We work on different aspects of blockchain
  - privacy: Zero Knowledge Proofs
  - data reliability: oracles
  - scalability: off-chain computation, light weight channels, side chains
  - applications
    - Self Sovereign identity (SSI)
    - access control systems
  - transaction analysis
    - scam detection
- references
  - https://sites.google.com/unipi.it/pisadltlaboratory
  - e-mail: laura.ricci@unipi.it

### **BLOCKCHAIN : HYPE OR REALITY?**



Singularity: un tuffo nell'arte decentralizzata e nelle opere d'arte NFT

Venezia, Biennale Arte 2022

27 Aprile 2022

Sarah Meyohas (French-American, b.1991) Bitchcoin 2015 Cloud of Petals 2017 / 2021-05-22 1:09:46 0x5e86f887ff9676a58f25a6e057b7a6b8d65e1874



Created in 2015, while Sarah Meyohas was pursuing her MFA in photography at Yale, Bitchcoin can be described as a proto-NFT. Each Bitchcoin represents 25 sq inches of any of Meyohas' prints. Therefore, the work invites users to speculate on the artist's success. With Bitchcoin, the artist takes her reflections on the immateriality of cryptocurrencies and decides to regain artistic agency and financial autonomy by creating her own currency and pegging its value to herself. The spectacular success of NFTs in the last years has spawned renewed interest in Meyohas' work, and prompted her to migrate her work over to Ethereum in 2021. She simultaneously released a new series of Bitchcoins backed by her 2017 work Cloud of Petals.

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **BLOCKCHAIN "AT A GLANCE"**



- a ledger which is replicated among the nodes of a peer-to-peer network
- all the nodes have the same replica of the ledger
- is immutable
  - benefits of the tamper freeness property
- may act like a notary

### **LOOKING INSIDE A BLOCK**



# LOOKING INSIDE A BLOCK: WHICH DATA?



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# LOOKING INSIDE A BLOCK: WHICH DATA?



- many further scenarios where data may be
  - data collected in a supply chain
  - a contract
  - an intellectual property licence
  - the temperature detected by a sensor inside a truck carrying drugs,....



### **LOOKING INSIDE A BLOCK: HASH**



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# **CRYPTOGRAPHIC HASH FUNCTIONS**

• a mathematical function pairing to each input data a "fingerprint" of fixed length



- input data : any length, any type
- output data:
  - fixed-length sequence of characters
  - if input is slightly changed, output is completely changed
- one-way: it is computationally hard to go from the hash to the input
- collision freeness
- and other properties

### **LOOKING INSIDE A BLOCK: HASH POINTES**



### **THE BLOCKCHAIN**



### **THE BLOCKCHAIN: TAMPER FREENESS**



- changing one hash caused changing the hash of the following blocks
- this does not only imply to recompute some hashes, but to find a value, that combined with the new hash solves the Proof of Work
  - other blockchains may use different mechanisms

# **THE BLOCKCHAIN: CONSENSUS**



# **ABSTRACTING THE BLOCKCHAIN: THE LEDGER**

- a ledger
  - like a bulletin storing operations consistently replicated on the nodes of a P2P network
- which properties needed for a ledger?
  - append-only list of events
  - tamper-proof
    - immutability, auditability
  - everyone agrees on content
    - consensus
- not just financial!
  - any application which needs a log of

#### events

Cash							
Date	Description	Increase		Decrease		Balance	
Jan. 1, 20X3	Balance forward					\$	50,000
Jan. 2, 20X3	Collected receivable	\$	10,000				60,000
Jan. 3, 20X3	Cash sale		5,000				65,000
Jan. 5, 20X3	Paid rent			\$	7,000		58,000
Jan. 7, 20X3	Paid salary				3,000		55,000
Jan. 8, 20X3	Cash sale		4,000				59,000
Jan. 8, 20X3	Paid bills				2,000		57,000
Jan. 10, 20X3	Paid tax				1,000		56,000
Jan. 12, 20X3	Collected receivable		7,000				63,000

- a write-only, decentralized, state machine that is maintained by untrusted actors, secured by economic incentive
- cannot delete data
- cannot be shut down or censored
- supports defined operations agreed upon by participants
- participants may not know each other (public)
- in actors best interest is to play by the rules

Cash							
Date	Description	Increase		Decrease		Balance	
Jan. 1, 20X3	Balance forward					\$	50,000
Jan. 2, 20X3	Collected receivable	\$	10,000				60,000
Jan. 3, 20X3	Cash sale		5,000				65,000
Jan. 5, 20X3	Paid rent			\$	7,000		58,000
Jan. 7, 20X3	Paid salary				3,000		55,000
Jan. 8, 20X3	Cash sale		4,000				59,000
Jan. 8, 20X3	Paid bills				2,000		57,000
Jan. 10, 20X3	Paid tax				1,000		56,000
Jan. 12, 20X3	Collected receivable		7,000				63,000

# **BLOCKCHAIN: BASIC TECHOLOGICAL TOOLS**

- Cryptographic hash functions (e.g. hash chains of data transactions)
  - provide tamper-resistant immutability
- Distributed consensus amongst mutually trusting or distrusting replica
  - provides integrity and decentralized control
- *Replication* (e.g. full copies stored everywhere)
  - provides availability
- Digital signatures (e.g. public-key cryptography)
  - provide ownership
- these are the basic tools, but other tools are needed and deserve interest
  - *cryptography*: zero-knowledge, multi party, verifiable random functions, authenticated data structures
  - formal verification techniques: smart contracts security
  - performance models

### THE LEDGER AS A BLOCKCHAIN

- if the ledger is organized as a list of blocks
  - call it a blockchain
  - but other structures are possibles! for instance, graphs...
- let us do a simplification: blocks contain single operations (not true for Bitcoin or Ethereum)



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **ADDING ENTRIES TO THE LEDGER**



consensus is the mechanism which defines

- who decides which operation will be added to the blockchain
- which operation among those to be confirmed, will be added

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **TAMPER FREENESS THROUGH HASH**

compute the hash of each entry (block)



### **CONSENSUS IN BITCOIN: MINING**

- Proof-of-work is an implementation of consensus realized in Bitcoin
  - a lottery
  - only who wins (ad to win is complex), can append the next block to the blockchain
  - called mining because the winner is rewarded



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# **BITCOIN CONSENSUS FROM NAKAMOTO**

- let us suppose, for the moment, that:
  - it is possible to pick a random node in the network
    - like picking a random token in a lottery
  - at least 51% of the time, this process will pick an honest node.
- the consensus protocol:
  - at each round: select a node at random
  - that node unilaterally proposes, without contacting other nodes, the next block of transactions to be inserted in the ledger (from the unconfirmed transactions)
  - that node broadcasts it in the peer-to-peer network
  - all the nodes check the validity of the block and update their blockchain with the new chosen block

# **RANDOM NODE SELECTION**

- how to select a random node at each round?
- the key idea: the probability to select a node must be proportional to the amount of resource has, a resource which is hard to monopolize
- in Bitcoin the probability to be selected is proportional to the computational power and selection is done on the basis of the Proof of work





 nodes which try to solve the proof of work are called miners and the whole validation process is called mining

# **PROOF OF WORK**

- based on cryptographic puzzles that
  - can be solved
  - require a considerable effort which cannot be short-circuited





Easy to verify

- it must be possible to verify the effort made to solve a PoW in a easy way
  - verification requires less time with respect to the time needed to conduct the PoW
- winner of the lottery decides which is the next node of the blockchain
- like a lottery to choose which node will decide the next block
  - tickets of the lottery are very expensive (proof of work)
  - winner of the lottery is paid when other nodes endorse validity
  - give incentives for well behaviour

### **PROOF OF WORK: THE CRYPTOGRAPHIS PUZZLE**

- find a value X and hash (block || X) : the result must be less than a threshold fixed value
- X is said a nounce



- actually only the header of the block is hashed
- needs large computational resources

### **POW DISADVANTAGES**

- the cost of Bitcoin mining is too high
  - energy waste
  - mining pools control large portion of the Bitcoin blockchain
    - make blockchain not fully distributed
- other solutions
  - employ energy than cannot be stoked
  - alternative consensus algorithm
    - proof of stake (Algorand, Cardano (Ourboros), Solana, ..)
    - delegated Proof of Stake (Steemit, EOS,...)
    - *byzantine consensus* (Hypeledger,..)

# **NOT ONLY BITCOIN AND NOT ONLY POW!!**

# **Top 15 Cryptocurrency by Market Capitalization**

500,000,000,000S 1,000,000,000,000\$ OS Bitcoin (BTC) 895,688,387,523\$ Ethereum (ETH) 455,713,570,381\$ Binance Coin (BNB) 88,637,570,485\$ Tether (USDT) 78,373,882,136\$ Solana (SOL) 54,552,495,292\$ Cardano (ADA) 46,129,061,736\$ USD Coin (USDC) 42,562,534,941\$ Ś XRP (XRP) 40,838,984,414\$ Terra (LUNA) 32,335,168,165\$ Polkadot (DOT) 29,361,884,232\$ Q Avalanche (AVAX) 27,588,210,908\$ Dogecoin (DOGE) 23,138,181,423\$ SHIBA INU (SHIB) 18,692,252,748\$ 2 Jan 2022 Polygon (MATIC) 18,259,576,689\$ Crypto.com Coin (CRO) 14,847,022,637\$

#### Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# **OTHER CONSENSUS MECHANISMS: PROOF OF STAKE**

- an election process in which one node is randomly chosen to validate the next block
- no miners, no mining



instead validators, minting or forging



- but choose is not completely random
  - to become a validator a node has to deposit an amount of coins as stake
    - a security deposit
  - the size of the stake defines the probability to be chosen as a validator to forge next block

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **OTHER CONSENSUS MECHANISMS: PROOF OF STAKE**

- the chosen node has to check if all the transactions within the block are valid
  - as a reward, the node receives the fees associated to each transaction
- validator lose a part of their stake if they validate fraudlent transactions
  - if the stake is higher than the total obtained by the fees, the validator is not incentivized to cheat
- if a node stop doing the validator, receives the stake + the transaction fees it got, but only after a certain period
- less energy
- no expensive equipments, more people are encouraged to participate
  - incentive for the decentralization







# **IS IT ALL? NO, PROOF OF OWNERSHIP IS ALSO NEEDED**

Alice opens a restaurant

- rental is high, venture capitalists are greedy
- Alice uses an ICO (Initial Coin Offering)
  - proposes a project that will be implemented on a blockchain
  - get funding from people proposing to participate to the project
  - create tokens to be given to the funders, as a compensation
    - discount meals for the restaurant
- how can Alice prove that tokens are really released by herself?



## **PROOF OF OWNERSHIP**



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# **PROOF OF OWNERSHIP**

- Alice generates a pair (public key, private key)
- private key gives ownership
  - possibility to sign the transfer operation
- public key gives the proof of ownership
  - prove that the emitter of the transfer is really the owner of the coupon
- when she releases tokens, she registers on the ledger a signed transactions
  - can be verified by the receiver

### **PERMISSIONLESS BLOCKCHAIN**

Alice's cryptotokens are permissionless

- anyone can participate
- anyone can be a miner
- no central authority
- based on reward
- may have some problems
  - blockchain forks
  - \$\$54M DAO Ethereum Attack

### **A PERMISSIONED BLOCKCHAIN**

- Alice sells her restaurant and opens a frozen yogurt business
- but her business is in trouble
  - shipments arrive melted
  - where is the problem?





Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### THE FROZEN YOGURT SUPPLY CHAIN





Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **ALICE SUPPLY CHAIN**





Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **ALICE SUPPLY CHAIN**



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **USE A BLOCKCHAIN**



### Bob and Carol





- temperature, humidity
- in the truck, in the factory
- put the ledger in the cloud
- events are registered: auditability



### Sensors

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### PERMISSIONED BLOCKCHAIN FOR SUPPLY CHAIN



- put sensors in the truck and in the factory
- not transactions, but sensor readings are registered on the blockchain
- a permissioned blockchain with a new consensus algorithm
  - Practical Blockchain Fault Tolerance (PBFT)

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **PERMISSIONED BLOCKCHAIN FOR SUPPLY CHAIN**



- parties are identified
- humans have passwords, keys
- sensors have keys
  - both humans and sensors are authenticated
- different consensus mechanisms
- accountability if caught cheating

# FINDING THE WAY IN THE BLOCKCHAIN JUNGLE



#### Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **SMART CONTRACTS FOR DUMMIES**



- what is a smart contract?
- use cases

- the term "smart contract" was first introduced by Nick Szabo, computer scientist, law scholar, and cryptographer, in the nineties, long before Bitcoin
- "a smart contract is a computerized transaction protocol that executes the terms of a contract. The general objectives are to satisfy common contractual conditions (such as payment terms, liens, confidentiality, and even enforcement), minimize exceptions both malicious and accidental, and minimize the need for trusted intermediaries. Related economic goals include lowering fraud loss, arbitrations and enforcement costs, and other transaction costs"

[Nick Szabo "The Idea of Smart Contracts"]



# **SMART CONTRACTS AND BLOCKCHAIN**



- just like contracts in the real world
- but they are completely digital
  - a tiny computer program stored inside the blockchain
  - code automates the "if this happens then do that" part of traditional contracts
- better with respect to normal contract: computer code behaves in expected ways and doesn't have the linguistic nuances of human languages.

### **SMART CONTRACTS: RECAP**



- a piece of software (program) written on a blockchain.
- all parties can view the contract, but it is not possible to change the contract (the code) after it has been deployed on the blockchain.
- as a result, the parties do not necessarily have to trust each other
  - they can rely on the contract and trust underlying blockchain technology
  - disintermediation: smart contracts ensure that an intermediary (Airbnb, broker, notary...) is not needed

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# **SMART CONTRACTS AND DISTRIBUTED LEDGERS**

#### • contract

- formalizes a relationship and contains promises made between principals.
- smart contract
  - based on the translation of contractual clauses into code
    - a digital agreement: two or more parties specify agreements with conditions.
  - more functional compared to paper-based: can reduce costs
  - aim to remove the need for trusted intermediaries
    - make it more difficult for malicious parties to undermine compliance with the contract terms
  - uses cryptography and other security mechanisms
    - secure algorithmically specifiable relationships from being breached and ensure the agreed upon terms are satisfied.

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **CENTRALIZED CRODWFUNDING**

- product teams can go to Kickstarter
  - essentially a third party that sits between start-up and supporters
  - operating on the web
- create a project and start collecting funds from other supporters who do believe in their idea



### Supporters

**Product Teams** 

Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **CENTRALIZED CROWDFUNDING: TRUSTING A THIRD PARTY**

- both supporters and producers need to trust *Kickstarter* to handle their money correctly
- if the project gets successfully funded
  - the team
    - expects *Kickstarter* to give them the collected money
  - supporters
    - want some rewards if the project is successfull
- if the project has not been funded supporters gets refunded



 no third party: instead program a smart contract between supporters and investors



- the supporters can transfer their money to the smart contract
  - it holds all the received funds until a certain goal is reached
- computation and money transfer inside the smart contract



- no third party: instead program a smart contract
- if the project gets fully funded
  - the contract automatically passes the money to the creators



- if the project fails to meet its goal
  - the money automatically goes back to the supporters



# **DEFI: A SMART CONTRACT BASED SOLUTION**



- smart contract are stored on the blockchain, everything completely distributed
- but why do we trust a smart contract? Because they inherit some properties of the blockchain
  - *immutable*: once a smart contract is created, it can never be changed. No one can tamper with the code of the smart contract
  - *distributed*: the program is executed by all the nodes of the blockchain and the output of the contract is validated by everyone in the network
    - no one can control the money



- a single person (or adversary) cannot force the contract to release funds
- because other nodes on the network will spot this attempt and consider it invalid
  - the smart contract is executed by all the nodes and a consensus on its results is reached
  - this hold if and only if the 51% of the nodes are honest!



Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

### **FUNGIBLE TOKENS AND NFT**

- a "killer application" for blockchain
- an example: customer loyalty rewards tokens
  - several flight companies deliver coupons connected to rental cars, airport parking, hotels, and massage services



#### Laura Ricci (laura.ricci@unipi.it) Università degli Studi di Pisa

# LOYALTY PROGRAMS AND BLOCKCHAINS

blockchain allows instantaneous and secure creation, redemption, exchange of loyalty reward points

- across programs, vendors, and industries
  - using different software systems
- in a trustless environment
  - no trusted third parties and administrators
  - trust given by the platform

